Interview Summary	Application No. 10/808,357	Applicant(s) MICKLEY ET AL
interview Summary	Examiner MATTHEW F. DESANTO	Art Unit 3763
All participants (applicant, applicant's representativ	ve, PTO personnel):	
(1) MATTHEW F. DESANTO.	(3)	
(2) Jocelyn Ram.	(4)	

Date of Interview: 14 August 2009.

Type: a | ☐ Telephonic b | ☐ Video Conference c | ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) | ☐ applicant 2 | ☐ applicant's representative |

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d | ☐ Yes | ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All the independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The examiner and applicant's representable discussed amendment to the claims that would help to overcome the prior att and more the case closer to an allowance. The subject discussed was the glatal tio of the needed having a surface with a concentry on the upper outer surface that allows the distal loop of the lumns to be plotted or form at 1-shape opening.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 73.04), If an pay to the last Office add not has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS FROM THE CONTROL OF THE MUST AND FACE OF THE MUST DAYS FROM THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL O

w F DeSa		
Examiner		